

62

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2104 OF 2001

Petitioner : Nandu Prasad Soni, Son of Ram
Sagar Soni, aged about 40 years,
Resident of Ring Road Namna Kala
Ambikapur, District -Surguja
(Chhattisgarh).

Versus

Respondents : 1. General Manager,
S.E.C.L. Area, Bishrampur, District-
Surguja (C.G.).
2. The Junior Employment Officer,
National Employment Service, M.P.
(at present C.G.) Coal Mines Sub
Employment Office, Department
Bishrampur, District Surguja
(Chhattisgarh).
3. Chhattisgarh Government
Through : Collector Surguja, District-
Surguja (C.G.).

Present: Shri R.N. Jha, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Smt. Sangeeta
Mishra, Advocate for the respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(2nd January, 2006)

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner applied for appointment on the post of Loader in the respondent No. 1/S.E.C.L. and interview was held on

9.8.1984. The petitioner was not selected by the selection committee. The petitioner has filed this petition on 28.9.2001 praying that the respondent No. 1/S.E.C.L be directed to appoint the petitioner on the post of Loader w.e.f. 9.8.1984 or alternatively from February 1989. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other persons have been appointed, but the petitioner was not assigned any reasons for not being selected for appointment on the post of Loader.

2. Shri H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Smt. Sangeeta Mishra, Advocate submits that the petitioner has no right for appointment after he has not been selected by the selection committee. Learned counsel further contended that the petition is time barred as this petition has been filed after a lapse of 16 years.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner has no right to know the reasons for not selecting him by the committee. The petitioner had not acquired any right at the first instance itself. The petitioner was rejected by the selection committee for appointment. Even otherwise the petition is time barred, as the petitioner had not taken any steps for more than 16 years.
4. For the reasons stated above, the petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Bablu

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge